About 145,000 words

#### CONTAINMENT AND CREDIBILITY

The Ideology and Deception that Plunged America into the Vietnam War by
Pat Proctor, PhD.

Grace Freedson's Publishing Network, LLC. 7600 Jericho Turnpike Woodbury, NY 11797 Telephone: (516) 931-7757

FAX: (516) 931-7759 gfreedson@gmail.com

# **Table of Contents**

| List of Figures                                      | iii |
|--|-----|
| Acknowledgements                                     | iv  |
| Introduction   | vii |
| Chapter 1 - Creating a Consensus on Vietnam          | 1   |
| Chapter 2 - The "Americanization" of the Vietnam War | 90  |
| Chapter 3 - Political Stalemate                      | 190 |
| Chapter 4 - The Collapse of Credibility              | 303 |
| Chapter 5 - Ending America's Vietnam War             | 417 |
| Chapter 6 - Re-fighting the Vietnam War              | 531 |

# Introduction

The United States is in the grips of a new foreign policy ideology—the War on Terror. According to this ideology, Islamic extremists must be defeated abroad before they can perpetrate terrorist attacks inside the United States. This ideology was forged in the fires of the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on 11 September 2001. This ideology blossomed in the days after 9/11, as anthrax-laden letters arrived at Congressional offices and newsrooms, as shoe bombers and underwear bombers boarded planes to conduct further attacks. It has reshaped what infringements the American people are willing to accept on their liberties as they board planes, talk on their cell phones, or use the Internet. This ideology has also spawned two wars, the war in Iraq and the war in Afghanistan, that have cost the United States trillions of dollars and over 6,000 American lives. And, as this work is being written, the War on Terror ideology has embroiled the United States in yet another war, this time in Iraq and Syria against the heirs to al Qaida in Iraq—the Islamic State in Iraq and Syria (ISIS).

Fifty years ago, the Cold War ideology of containment was no less powerful. This ideology was forged in the fire of World War II, the bloodiest conflict in the history of mankind. It blossomed in American politics amid fear of atomic annihilation and paranoia about Communist infiltration in the 1950s. By 1964, an entire generation had grown up knowing no other framework for public debate over foreign policy; the American public *believed* that it was necessary to contain Communist expansion, using military force if necessary. President Johnson tapped into this ideology when he insisted that Communists were trying to expand into Southeast Asia through South Vietnam—the so-called domino theory—and had to be opposed by force. The resulting war lasted more than eight years and cost nearly 60,000 American lives.

Throughout the war, both President Lyndon Johnson and President Richard Nixon used the ideology of military containment of Communism to justify U.S. military intervention in Vietnam. From 1965 until early 1968, opponents of U.S. military intervention in Vietnam tried to stop the war by attacking the suitability of the strategy of military containment of Communism to Vietnam and Southeast Asia. Some opponents also attacked the entire ideology of military containment of Communism, not just in Vietnam, but anywhere. In 1968, most opponents of the Vietnam War switched tactics and began to focus instead on the President's credibility on Vietnam. These arguments quickly became the dominant critique of America's policies in Vietnam through the end of the war and were ultimately successful in ending it.

The Gulf of Tonkin incident on 4 August 1964—in which two U.S. destroyers were supposedly attacked by the North Vietnamese—and the Tonkin Gulf Resolution were central both to the Johnson administration's use of containment to justify U.S. military intervention in Vietnam and to the change of opposition strategy in 1968 from attacking the administration's use of containment to justify the war to attacking the administration's credibility. For President Johnson, the Gulf of Tonkin incident both provided dramatic proof of the growing aggression of the North Vietnamese in Southeast Asia and provided the political impetus to overcome the private skepticism of many in Congress over whether the goal of containing Communism in Southeast Asia was really important enough to warrant U.S. military intervention Vietnam. The resulting Tonkin Gulf Resolution—the President's "blank check" to use U.S. military force in Vietnam—provided the administration with an insurance policy against Congressional dissent; whatever their later misgivings, all but two members of Congress voted for the resolution. For opponents of the war in 1968, glaring inconsistencies in the administration's version of the events of the Gulf of Tonkin incident provided compelling evidence that the Johnson

administration had lied to the American people, making the resulting Tonkin Gulf Resolution—obtained as a result of this incident—null and void. For the American people, revelations about the administration's dishonesty during the Gulf of Tonkin incident simply added to grave doubts they already had about the Johnson administration's credibility; the American people lost confidence in President Johnson, ending his Presidency. The dramatic success of this new strategy—attacking the administration's credibility rather than its use of containment to justify the war—encouraged most other opponents of the war to follow suit, permanently altering the framework of debate over the war.

This change in the opposition's strategy—from attacking military containment as a justification for the war to attacking the administration's credibility—had a number of important consequences. First, this change in opposition rhetoric ultimately forced an end to the war. To sustain his credibility against relentless attack, President Nixon was repeatedly forced to withdraw troops to prove to the American people he was making good on his pledge to bring an "honorable end" to the war. Ultimately, Nixon ran out of troops to withdraw and was forced to accept an unfavorable compromise peace. Second, this framework for public debate of foreign policy established in the latter half of the Vietnam War—between advocates of military invention using the ideology of military containment and opponents of military intervention attacking the administration's credibility—would reemerge nearly every time an administration contemplated a military intervention through the end of the Cold War. Finally, and most importantly, because opponents of military intervention stopped challenging the ideology of containment, the American public continued to accept the precepts of containment after the Vietnam War and the Cold War consensus survived until the collapse of the Soviet Union and the end of the Cold War.

From the beginning of his Presidency in November 1963 until August 1964, President Lyndon Johnson used arguments founded in the Cold War ideology of containment of Communism to justify U.S. military involvement in the conflict in Vietnam, just as his predecessor, John F. Kennedy, had. Few publicly opposed these arguments or the ideology of military containment of Communism that was the core of the broader Cold War consensus on American foreign policy. Still, despite a concerted public information campaign by the administration to build a consensus in Congress and among the public for the direct employment of American military force in Vietnam, the public and Congress did not support an American military escalation in Vietnam.

On 2 August 1964, the *U.S.S Maddox* was in the Gulf of Tonkin supporting raids by South Vietnamese commandos (with American advisors in support) when three North Vietnamese patrol boats launched an attack on the *Maddox*. The attack was turned away, with one patrol boat sunk and the others damaged. On 4 August, the *Maddox*, joined by the destroyer *U.S.S. Turner Joy*, reported that it was again attacked by North Vietnamese torpedo boats.

President Lyndon Johnson used this incident in the Gulf of Tonkin on 4 August 1964 to justify a retaliatory air strike against North Vietnam and to win a Congressional endorsement—the Tonkin Gulf Resolution—to use military force to protect the sovereignty of South Vietnam from what his administration described as northern aggression. After the incident and the retaliation, the Johnson administration immediately returned to the ideology of military containment of Communism—while occasionally evoking the tit-for-tat precedent of these initial retaliatory air strikes or the Tonkin Gulf Resolution itself—to justify "Americanizing" the

Vietnam War through a series of escalations that started with sustained bombing of the North and ended with over 500,000 U.S. troops fighting in the jungles of South Vietnam.

During this same period, a growing number of opponents of President Johnson's policies in Vietnam began a dramatic broadening of the public foreign policy debate, attacking justifications for the Vietnam War rooted in the military containment of Communism and even attacking the broader ideology of military containment itself. These antiwar arguments ultimately had little impact on public support for the Vietnam War and Congress, restrained by the President's insurance policy against their dissent, the Tonkin Gulf Resolution, remained silent.

In early 1968, the North Vietnamese Army and Viet Cong insurgents initiated a massive, coordinated attack across South Vietnam in an effort to trigger a general uprising of the South Vietnamese people against their government. In the United States, this attack, initiated during the traditional ceasefire over the Vietnamese lunar New Year called "Tet," was known as the Tet Offensive.<sup>1</sup>

In the months immediately before this Tet Offensive—at the same time the administration was making ever more strident claims about its progress in Vietnam—a few opponents of the war began tentative attacks on the administration's credibility. Then, just as the Tet Offensive called into question the administration's rosy predictions from the previous fall, the Senate Foreign Relations Committee used the Gulf of Tonkin incident and the Tonkin Gulf Resolution as a weapon against Johnson's Vietnam policy, claiming that President Johnson had lied about the facts of the incident to deceive the Congress into passing the Tonkin Gulf Resolution. This deluge of evidence caused a collapse of public confidence in President

хi

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ronnie E. Ford, Tet 1968: Understanding the Surprise, (New York: Frank Cass, 1995), 1-4.

Johnson's credibility; he was forced to withdraw from the presidential race and stop escalating the war.

Opponents of the war perceived these new, highly effective attacks on the administration's credibility as responsible for the President's retreat. Soon after, a number of prominent antiwar candidates who continued to attack the ideology of containment or its application to the Vietnam War were defeated in the 1968 elections; most on both sides of the Vietnam issue interpreted these losses as a rejection by the American public of attacks on the Cold War consensus. Thus, after the 1968 elections, attacks on the use of the military containment of Communism to justify the Vietnam War or on the broader ideology of military containment itself virtually disappeared. Instead, antiwar arguments narrowed to themes surrounding Presidential deceptiveness in the initiation, conduct, and resolution of the war. These latter themes became the dominant critique throughout the remainder of the Vietnam War and, in fact, were decisive in undermining Congressional and public support for the war and ultimately ending it.

However, ending the war in this way—through attacks on each administration's credibility rather than through attacks on the use of military containment of Communism as a justification for the Vietnam War—had a lasting impact on public foreign policy debate in America, even after the war. First, the structure of the debate over U.S. policy in Vietnam during the latter days of the war—between the use of military containment as a justification for military interventions and questions about the administration's credibility on foreign policy matters—became the framework for nearly every future debate over military intervention abroad through the remainder of the Cold War. More importantly, however, while many foreign policy leaders in and out of government had abandoned the ideology of military containment after the Vietnam

War, the American public continued to support this foreign policy framework. In other words, while the Cold War consensus among members of Congress and foreign policy experts outside of government was broken, the Cold War consensus among the broader American public survived the Vietnam War, perpetuating the Cold War until the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991.

This book is a mass political history of the Vietnam War. The phrase "mass political" is used here to distinguish this book from an intra-governmental political history (documenting the struggle within a single branch or between banches of the U.S. government) or an international political history (documenting the struggle between national governments over the war). Instead, this book is a history of the mass politics of the war—the public struggle between supporters and opponents of the Vietnam War to influence American public opinion about the war. This public struggle was primarily waged in the print and broadcast media, but also through demonstrations, acts of civil disobedience, and even occasionally through violence. This book will examine the arguments that were being made for and against the war, the people who were making these arguments, and why they were making them (i.e., what effect they hoped their arguments would have on the American public and why they thought their arguments would have this effect).

This approach to study of the Vietnam War yields a number of significant new contributions to the historiography of the war. First, this book will show that opponents of the Vietnam War switched tactics in 1968 and began to focus their attacks on the President's credibility regarding Vietnam rather than on the ideology of containment or its application to Vietnam. In the years since the end of the war, the historical narrative of President Johnson's dishonesty in starting and prosecuting the Vietnam War has become the dominant narrative of

# **Bibliography**

#### **Archives**

- The American Presidency Project. University of California San Bernardino, http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/
- Fulbright Papers. University of Arkansas Library, Fayetteville, AR.
- Harry Bridges Center for Labor History. University of Washington, Seattle, WA.
- Lyndon B. Johnson Presidential Library. University of Texas, Austin, TX
- Miller Center. University of Virginia, http://millercenter.org/
- Pentagon Papers. National Archives, Washington, D.C., http://www.archives.gov/research/pentagon-papers/
- Records of the Office of the Chancellor. Wilson Library, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
- Roper Public Opinion Research Center. University of Connecticut, http://www.ropercenter.uconn.edu/
- Vanderbilt Television News Archive. Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

#### **Books**

- Beggs, A. Dwayne. *The Vietnam War Dissent of Ernest Gruening And Wayne Morse*. 1964-1968. Dissertation, Bowling Green, 2010.
- Brands, H. W. *The Strange Death of American Liberalism*. New Haven, CT: Yale University, 2001.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_, Darren Pierson, and Reynolds S. Kiefer. *The Use of Force after the Cold War*. College Station, TX: Texas A&M University, 2000.
- Brinkley, Douglas and Luke Nichter, eds. *The Nixon Tapes*. New York: Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2014.
- Brody, Richard A., Paul Ekman, Edwin B. Parker, Nelson W. Polsby, Peter H. Rossi, Paul B. Sheatsley, Sidney Verba. *Public Opinion and the War in Vietnam*. Inter-University Consortium for Political Research, Winter 1966.
- Brown, Eugene. J. William Fulbright: Advice and Dissent. Iowa City, IA: University of Iowa, 1985.

- Craig, Campbell and Fredrik Logevall. *America's Cold War: The Politics of Insecurity*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, 2009.
- Dallek, Robert. Flawed Giant: Lyndon Johnson and His Times, 1961-1973. New York: Oxford University, 1998.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Lyndon B. Johnson: Portrait of a President. New York: Oxford University, 2004.
- Ford, Ronnie E. Tet 1968: Understanding the Surprise. New York: Frank Cass, 1995.
- Fordham, Benjamin O. Building the Cold War Consensus: The Political Economy of U.S. National Security Policy, 1949-51. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan, 2001.
- Gaddis, John Lewis. Strategies of Containment: A Critical Appraisal of Postwar American National Security Policy. New York: Oxford University, 1982.
- Gibbons, William Conrad. The U. S. Government and the Vietnam War: Executive and Legislative Roles and Relationships: July 1965-January 1968. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University, 1995.
- \_\_\_\_\_. The U.S. Government and the Vietnam War: Executive and Legislative Roles and Relationships, Part I. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University, 1986.
- Grow, Michael. U.S. Presidents and Latin American Interventions: Pursuing Regime Change in the Cold War. Lawerence, KS: University Press of Kansas, 2008.
- Hammond, William M. *Public Affairs: The Military and the Media*, 1962-1968. Washington, D.C.: Center for Military History, U.S. Army, 1988.
- Helsing, Jeffrey W. Johnson's War/Johnson's Great Society: The Guns and Butter Trap. Westport, CT: Praeger, 2000.
- Herring, George C. *America's Longest War: The United States and Vietnam, 1950-1975.* Boston: McGraw-Hill, 2002.
- Hess, Gary. Presidential Decisions for War: Korea, Vietnam, the Persian Gulf, and Iraq. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University, 2009.
- Hunt, Michael H. Ideology and US Foreign Policy. New Haven, CT: Yale University, 1987.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Lyndon Johnson's War: America's Cold War Crusade in Vietnam 1945-1968. New York: Hill and Wang, 1996.
- Johnson, Robert David. Congress and the Cold War. New York: Cambridge University, 2006.
- Kaiser, David E. *American Tragedy: Kennedy, Johnson, and the Origins of the Vietnam War.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, 2000.
- Karnow, Stanley. Vietnam: A History. New York: Penguin, 1997.

- Kimball, Jeffrey P. To Reason Why: The Debate about the Causes of U.S. Involvement in the Vietnam War. Eugene, OR: Resource, 1990.
- Langguth, A.J. Our Vietnam: The War 1954-1975. New York: Simon & Schuster, 2000.
- Lee, J. Edward and H.C. "Toby" Haynsworth. *Nixon, Ford and the Abandonment of South Vietnam*. Jefferson, NC: McFarland, 2002.
- Lewy, Guenter. America in Vietnam. New York: Oxford University Press, 1980.
- Liebovich, Louis. *The Press and the Modern Presidency: Myths And Mindsets From Kennedy To Election 2000.* Westport, CT: Praeger, 2001.
- Logevall, Fredrik. Choosing War: The Lost Chance for Peace and the Escalation of War in Vietnam. Berkeley, CA: University of California, 1999.
- McGirr, Lisa. Suburban Warriors: The Origins of the New American Right. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University, 2001.
- McMaster, H.R. Dereliction of Duty: Lyndon Johnson, Robert McNamara, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and the Lies That Led to Vietnam. New York: HarperCollins, 1997.
- Melanson, Richard A. American Foreign Policy Since the Vietnam War: The Search for Consensus from Nixon to Clinton. Armonk, NY: M.E. Sharpe, 2000.
- Moïse, Edwin E. *Tonkin Gulf and the Escalation of the Vietnam War*. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina, 1996.
- Moser, Richard R. *The New Winter Soldiers: GI and Veteran Dissent During the Vietnam Era*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University, 1996.
- Oberdorfer, Don. Tet! New York, NY: Avon Books, 1971.
- Pisor, Robert. *The End of the Line: The Siege of Khe Sanh*. New York, NY: W. W. Norton and Company, 1982.
- Prados, John and Margaret Pratt Porter, eds. *Inside the Pentagon Papers*. Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas, 2004.
- Record, Jeffrey. *The Wrong War: Why We Lost in Vietnam*. Annapolis, MD: Naval Institute Press, 1998.
- Roselle, Laura. Media and the Politics of Failure: Great Powers, Communication Strategies, and Military Defeats. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2006.
- Rossinow, Doug. The Politics of Authenticity: Liberalism, Christianity, and the New Left in America. New York: Columbia, 1998.

- Rudenstine, David. *The Day the Presses Stopped: A History of the Pentagon Papers Case*. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California, 1996.
- Sanders, Jerry Wayne. *Peddlers of Crisis: The Committee on the Present Danger and the Politics of Containment*. Cambridge, MA: South End, 1983.
- Shaw, John M. *The Cambodian Campaign: The 1970 Offensive and America's Vietnam War*. Lawrence, KS: University Press of Kansas, 2005.
- Siff, Ezra Y. Why the Senate Slept: The Gulf of Tonkin Resolution and the Beginning of America's Vietnam War. Westport, CT: Praeger, 1999.
- Small, Melvin. Johnson, Nixon, and the Doves. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University, 1988.
- Spencer, Graham. *The Media and Peace: From Vietnam to the 'War on Terror'*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005.
- Starry, Donn A. *Mounted Combat in Vietnam*. Washington, D.C.: Department of the Army, 1989.
- Towle, Michael J. *Out of Touch: The Presidency and Public Opinion*. College Station, TX: Texas A&M University, 2004.
- Turner, Kathleen J. *Lyndon Johnson's Dual War: Vietnam and the Press*. Chicago: University of Chicago, 1985.
- VanDeMark, Brian. *Into the Quagmire: Lyndon Johnson and the Escalation of the Vietnam War.* New York: Oxford University, 1991.
- Wells, Tom. *The War Within: America's Battle over Vietnam*. Oakland, CA: University of California, 1994.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Wild Man: The Life and Times of Daniel Ellsberg. New York: Palgrave, 2001.
- Westad, Odd Arne. *The Global Cold War: Third World Interventions and the Making of Our Times*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University, 2005.
- Wittkopf, Eugene R. Faces of Internationalism: Public Opinion and American Foreign Policy. Durham, NC: Duke University, 1990.
- Woods, Randall Bennett. *J. William Fulbright, Vietnam, and the Search for a Cold War Foreign Policy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University, 1998.

#### **Articles from Books**

Asbley, Karin, Bill Ayers, Bernardine Dohrn, John Jacobs, Jeff Jones, Gerry Long, Home Machtinger, Jim Mellen, Terry Robbins, Mark Rudd and Steve Tappis. "You Don't Need A Weatherman To Know Which Way The Wind Blows." *New Left Notes*. 18 June 1969. In *Weatherman*, edited by Harold Jacobs, 34. San Francisco: Ramparts, 1970.

- California Peace and Freedom Movement. "California Peace And Freedom Movement Program." Founding Convention, Richmond, CA, March 1968. In *The New Left: A Documentary History*, edited by Massimo Teodori, 408. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill, 1969.
- Calvert, Gregory. "In White America: Radical Consciousness And Social Change." Princeton Conference Speech, February 1967. In *The National Guardian*. 25 March 1967. In *The New Left: A Documentary History*, edited by Massimo Teodori, 380. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill, 1969.
- Horowitz, David. "Hand-Me-Down Marxism In The New Left." *Ramparts*. September 1969. In *Weatherman*, edited by Harold Jacobs, 60. San Francisco: Ramparts, 1970.
- Kahin, George McT "Bureaucracy's Call for U.S. Ground Troops." In *To Reason Why: The Debate about the Causes of U.S. Involvement in the Vietnam War*, edited by Jeffrey P. Kimball, 240. Eugene, OR: Resource, 1990.
- Kopkind, Andrew. "The Real SDS Stands Up." *Hard Times*. 30 June 1969. In *Weatherman*, edited by Harold Jacobs, 22. San Francisco: Ramparts, 1970.
- Hayden, Tom. "Justice In The Streets." in *Trial* by Holt, Rinehart, Winston, Tom Hayden, 1970. In *Weatherman*, edited by Harold Jacobs, 173-174. San Francisco: Ramparts, 1970.
- LaFeber, Walter. "The Tension between Democracy and Capitalism during the American Century." In *The Ambiguous Legacy: U.S. Foreign Relations in the "American Century"*, edited by Michael J. Hogan, 152–182. New York: Cambridge University, 1999.
- Lynd, Staughton. "Resistance; From Mood To Strategy." *Liberation*. December 1967. In *The New Left: A Documentary History*, edited by Massimo Teodori, 287. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill, 1969.
- Movement. "We've Got To Reach Our Own People." *The Movement.* November 1967. In *The New Left: A Documentary History*, edited by Massimo Teodori, 280. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill, 1969.
- Oglesby, Carl. "1969." in "Notes on a Decade Ready for the Dustbin." *Liberation*. August-September 1969. In *Weatherman*, edited by Harold Jacobs, 74. San Francisco: Ramparts, 1970.
- \_\_\_\_\_. "An Open Letter To McCarthy Supporters." 1968, In *The New Left: A Documentary History* by Massimo Teodori, 412. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill, 1969.
- Porter, Gareth. "Explaining the Vietnam War: Dominant and Contending Paradigms." In *Making Sense of the Vietnam Wars: Local, National, and Transnational Perspectives*, edited by Mark Philip Bradley and Marilyn B. Young, 67-90. New York: Oxford University, 2008.

- Students for a Democratic Society. "America and New Era." October 1965, In *The New Left: A Documentary History*, edited by Massimo Teodori, 159. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill, 1969.
- Weinstein, James. "Weatherman: A Lot Of Thunder But A Short Reign." *Socialist Revolution*. January-February 1970. In *Weatherman*, edited by Harold Jacobs, 223. San Francisco: Ramparts, 1970.
- Williams, Kevin. "Vietnam: The First Living Room War." In *The Fog of War: The Media on the Battlefield*, edited by Derrik Mercer, Geoff Mungham, Kevin Williams, and Sir Tom Hopkinson, 213-260. London: Heinemann, 1987.
- Woods, Randall Bennett. "The Rhetoric of Dissent: J. William Fulbright, Vietnam, and the Crisis of International Liberalism." In *Critical Reflections on the Cold War: Linking Rhetoric and History*, edited by Martin J. Medhurst and H. W. Brands, 187. College Station, TX: Texas A&M University, 2000.

#### **Journal Articles**

- Aldrich, George H. "QUESTIONS OF INTERNATIONAL LAW RAISED BY THE SEIZURE OF THE U.S.S. *PUEBLO*." *Proceedings of the American Society of International Law at Its Annual Meeting (1921-1969)*, American Society of International Law 63, Perspectives for International Legal Development (April 24-26, 1969): 2-6.
- Barton, Allen H. "Fault Lines in American Elite Consensus." *Daedalus* 109, No. 3, (Summer 1980): 1-24
- Brzezinski, Zbigniew. "How the Cold War Was Played." *Foreign Affairs* 51, No. 1 (October 1972): 181-209.
- Clifford, Clark M. "A Viet Nam Reappraisal: The Personal History of One Man's View and How It Evolved." *Foreign Affairs*, Council on Foreign Relations 47, No. 4 (July 1969): 601-622.
- Cowans, Jon. "A Deepening Disbelief: The American Movie Hero in Vietnam, 1958-1968." *The Journal of American East Asian Relations* 17, No. 4 (2010): 324.
- Fordham, Benjamin O. "The Evolution of Republican and Democratic Positions on Cold War Military Spending: A Historical Puzzle." *Social Science History*, Volume 31, Number 4 (Winter 2007): 603-636.
- Friedberg, Aaron L. "Why Didn't the United States become a Garrison State?" *International Security* 16 (Spring 1992): 109–42.
- Goldbloom, Maurice J. "Johnson So Far III: Foreign Policy." *Commentary*, American Jewish Committee 39, No. 6 (June 1965): 47-55.

- Graebner, Norman A. "The President as Commander in Chief: A Study in Power." *Journal of Military History* 57, No. 1 (January 1993), 111-132.
- Hall, Simon. "The Response of the Moderate Wing of the Civil Rights Movement to the War in Vietnam." *The Historical Journal* 46, No. 3 (Sep. 2003): 669-701.
- Hershberg, James G. "Peace Probes and the Bombing Pause: Hungarian and Polish Diplomacy During the Vietnam War, December 1965-January 1966." *Journal of Cold War Studies* 5, No. 2 (Spring 2003): 32-67.
- Huebner, Andrew J. "Rethinking American Press Coverage of the Vietnam War, 1965-68." *Journalism History* 31, No. 3 (Fall 2005): 150-161.
- Hunt, Andrew. "When Did the Sixties Happen?' Searching for New Directions." *Journal of Social History* 33, No. 1 (Autumn, 1999): 147-161.
- Johnson, Robert David. "The Origins of Dissent: Senate Liberals and Vietnam, 1959-1964." Pacific Historical Review 65, No. 2 (May 1996): 249-275.
- Kane, John. "American Values or Human Rights? U.S. Foreign Policy and the Fractured Myth of Virtuous Power." *Presidential Studies Quarterly* 33, No. 4 (December 2003): 772-800.
- Kauffman, Christopher J. "Politics, Programs, and Protests: Catholic Relief Services in Vietnam, 1954-1975." *Catholic Historical Review* 91, No. 2 (April 2005), 223-250.
- Kerber, Linda K. "The Meanings of Citizenship." *Journal of American History* 84, No. 3 (December 1997), 833-854.
- Lockard, Craig A. "Meeting Yesterday Head-on: The Vietnam War in Vietnamese, American, and World History." *Journal of World History* 5, No. 2 (Fall 1994): 227-270.
- Miller, Linda B. "Morality in Foreign Policy: A Failed Consensus." *Daedalus* 109, No. 3, (Summer 1980): 143-158.
- Meernik, James. "Presidential Support in Congress: Conflict and Consensus on Foreign and Defense Policy." *Journal of Politics* 55, No. 3 (Aug. 1993): 569-587.
- Roberts, Priscilla. "'All the Right People': The Historiography of the American Foreign Policy Establishment." *Journal of American Studies* 26, No. 3 (December1992): 409-434.
- Schmitz, David F. and Natalie Fousekis. "Frank Church, the Senate, and the Emergence of Dissent on the Vietnam War." *Pacific Historical Review* 63, No. 4 (Nov. 1994): 561-581.

- Seo, Jungkun. "The Party Politics of 'Guns versus Butter' in Post-Vietnam America." *Journal of American Studies*, 45, No. 2 (2011): 317–336.
- Thorne, Christopher. "American Political Culture and the End of the Cold War." *Journal of American Studies* 26, No. 3, (December 1992): 303-330.
- Ziemke, Caroline F. "Senator Richard B. Russell and the 'Lost Cause' in Vietnam, 1954-1968." Georgia Historical Quarterly 72, No. 1 (Spring 1988), 30-71.

#### **Magazine Articles**

- Rosenberg, Milton J. "The Decline and Rise of the Cold War Consensus." *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, March 1981.
- Shearer, Lloyd. "The Baby Doctor and the Chaplain." *Parade*, 3 March 1968.
- Time. "A Measured & Fitting Response." *Time*, 14 August 1964. Accessed 3 November 2008. http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,897224,00.html
- Time. "Action in Tonkin Gulf." *Time*, 14 August 1964 Accessed 3 September 2008. http://www.time.com/ time/magazine/article/0,9171,897225,00.html.
- Time. "The Airmobile Division." *Time*, 25 June 1965, accessed 26 October 2008. http://www.time.com/time/ magazine/article/0,9171,833759,00.html
- Time. "Toward the Showdown?" *Time*, 7 August 1964. Accessed 3 November 2008. http://www.time.com/time/ magazine/article/0,9171,871317,00.html
- Time. "U.S. Peace Offensive." Time, 14 January 1966.
- US News & World Report. "WHAT'S EXPECTED OF A NEW PRESIDENT: Nationwide Survey." *US News & World Report*, 16 December 1968.
- Wise, David. "Remember the Maddox!" Esquire, April 1968.

### **Unpublished Manuscripts**

Hamilton, Joseph Bruce. "Faux Casus Belli: The Role of Unsubstantiated Attacks in the Initiation of Military Action for Domestic Political Purposes." PhD diss., Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy, 2000.

### **About the Author**

Lieutenant Colonel Pat Proctor, PhD., is a U.S. Army veteran of both the Iraq and the Afghanistan wars with over 22 years of service in command and staff positions from Fort Hood, Texas to Schofield Barracks, Hawaii. Lieutenant Colonel Proctor is currently the Senior Fire Support Trainer at the Joint Readiness Training Center in Fort Polk, Louisiana. Lieutenant Colonel Proctor most recently deployed to Jordan, on the front lines of the war on ISIS, as the commander of the Gunner Battalion (4<sup>th</sup> Battalion, 1<sup>st</sup> Field Artillery). In 2012, Pat served as the Chief of Plans for Regional Command-East in Afghanistan, planning the transition of the war to Afghan security forces ahead of the withdrawal of American forces from Afghanistan. In 2009, Pat deployed to Iraq as operations officer for Task Force Patriot (2<sup>nd</sup> Battalion, 32<sup>nd</sup> Field Artillery), an artillery-turned-infantry battalion battling insurgents in Saddam Hussein's hometown of Tikrit. In 2007, Pat was drafted to work in Iraq as part of a handpicked, 20-man team of soldiers, scholars, and diplomats commissioned by General Petraeus and Ambassador Crocker to create a new strategy for the war in Iraq. Pat worked with a State Department counterpart to write the strategic communication plan for what has since become known as the Iraq "surge."

Pat has written extensively on current affairs, military history, and military simulation topics. He is the author of *Task Force Patriot and the End of Combat Operations in Iraq* and coauthor of *ASVAB AFQT Cram Plan*. Lieutenant Colonel Proctor has also written articles for the Phi Alpha Theta history honor society journal, *The Historian*, the U.S. Army War College journal, *Parameters*, Henley-Putnam University's *Journal of Strategic Security*, the U.S. Army Command and General Staff College journal, *Military Review*, and consumer magazines including *Armchair General* and *Military Simulations & Training*.

## Proctor-Containment and Credibility

Lieutenant Colonel Proctor holds a doctorate in history from Kansas State University. He earned his first master's degree in military arts for strategy from the U.S. Army Command and General Staff College (CGSC). He also holds a second master's degree in military arts for theater operations from the highly selective School of Advanced Military Studies (SAMS). Pat holds a bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering from Purdue University.